

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/824,833	TOMITA, ATSUSHI	

All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After Non-Final Rejection</u>		
(1) <u>Ajay M. Bhatia</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Jame A. LaBarre (Req. No. 28,632)</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>4 August 2005</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
101			
Claims discussed:			
23			
Prior art documents discussed:			
N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notified applicant that claims 23 as currently stated is rejected under 35 USC 101, and provided possible claim language that would make the claim allowable. Applicant's representative said that he would have to contact applicant before a decision could be made. .